

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/606,602	06/26/2003	Robert Wieber	1001-117	5017		
25215 75	590 05/11/2004	EXAMINER				
DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC			PATEL, KIRAN B			
401 S OLD WOODWARD AVE SUITE 311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BIRMINGHAN	M, MI 48009		3612			
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/11/200	DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Z 1			
	-	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	Sur			
		10/606,602	2	WIEBER, ROBERT	7			
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	·	Art Unit				
		Kiran B. Pa		3612	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
The MAILING D. Period for Reply	ATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	9SS			
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be avafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the lift the period for reply specified if NO period for reply is specified. Figure to reply within the set	CUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DF THIS COMMUNICATION. railable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. he mailing date of this communication. d above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep fied above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statut- ice later than three months after the mailin nt. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever bly within the statut will apply and will e. cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from sation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 19 A	April 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FI								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is.	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4,5,7,10-12 and 14-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	,9 and 13 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers								
,	is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	t request that any objection to the				4.4047.15			
	wing sheet(s) including the corre aration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
12) Acknowledgmen	t is made of a claim for foreig	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite	ad (PTO_802)		4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate					
	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
I S. Patent and Trademark Office	·		-,					

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, Species E, Fig 4, claims 1-13 is acknowledged.

Claims 14-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Further, claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 10-12 are withdrawn by the Examiner from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,602

Art Unit: 3612

2. Following claims, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Regarding claim 3, "a layer of primer and a layer of paint are disposed directly over the adhesive material concealing the adhesive material from a surrounding environment and providing a Class A or a Class B finish" fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear because claim 1 recites "the second surface opposing the first surface" and "a structural adhesive material adhered to the first surface and the second surface" and claim 3 recites "a layer of primer and a layer of paint are disposed directly over the adhesive material concealing the adhesive material from a surrounding environment and providing a Class A or a Class B finish". There are no exposed surfaces, which can to be primed or painted. Also figures fail to show the adhesive material to be primed and painted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be

Application/Control Number: 10/606,602

Art Unit: 3612

patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8-9, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graber et al. (6,679,540) and in view of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 6, 8-9, 13, Graber et al. (6,679,540) discloses the invention as claimed to include a first member 50 having a first flange 58 providing a first attachment surface Fig 5; a second member 70 having a second flange providing a second attachment surface Fig 5, the second surface opposing the first surface, at least one of the first member and second member being a panel; and a structural adhesive material (col 5, lines 20-60) adhered to the first surface and the second surface;

However, Graber et al. (6,679,540) does not disclose the structural adhesive material having a tensile strength of at least 12 MPa which is applied with a miniapplicator including an extruder and the adhesive is formed from a heat activatable material that expands at a temperature encountered during at least one of the an automotive e-coat and an automotive painting operation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made (specification page 10 lines 15-16 - "A number of epoxy-

Art Unit: 3612

based structural reinforcing foams are known in the art and may be used to produce the structural foam adhesive material") to provide the structural adhesive material having a tensile strength of at least 12 MPa which is applied with a miniapplicator including an extruder and the adhesive is formed from a heat activatable material that expands at a temperature encountered during at least one of an automotive e-coat and an automotive painting operation, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ416.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

Application/Control Number: 10/606,602

Art Unit: 3612

Page 6

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kirah B. Patel, P. E. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

May 4, 2004